

WHISKEY CREEK PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE HEARING 2/6/2022

Submitter: Rose and Barney Hyde, owners of adjacent property 247 Flyers Line.

Concern One

The recent changes to the hydraulic reports discussed at the teams meeting Held on 30/6/2022 are not represented on the existing documentation on the PNCC website at this stage. Do we know when this data will be updated ?

Specifically the removal of the two ponds from Plan 6

Concern Two

We appreciate the work of the flood modellers in taking our property into consideration And attempting to mitigate any adverse effect.

We would like to add for the record that we were home during the flood events of both 2004 and 2016. Attached to this submission are photo's of the 2004 event taken at the peak of the impact on our property. The 2016 flood event had less impact.

The photo's show the extent to which the flood waters encroached on our house section.

As can be seen the height at it's worst was about an inch or two at the eastern end of our house as the flood waters came through from the proposed Whiskey Creek plan change property. From here it dispersed to the rear of the house section. The flood waters around our house section had very little to no velocity and caused no damage.

Our shed, stock yards and surrounding paddock areas are also on slightly higher ground. The flood waters had more velocity in this area but still amounted to little or no damage.

On the day of the the 2004 event ( 14/2/2004 ) I drove back up my drive at around 5pm. My recollection is that the flood waters had been and gone, a much better situation than many other Properties throughout the Manawatu.

It would provide re assurance for us if, in the event of our next flood, our property Fared no worse than the above observations or better.

The flood models provide an illustration of what can be expected but we will only find out When the next event happens. If the flood waters cause more damage than reasonably Expected based on the events of the past, what happens and to whom do we turn ?

To this extent we request there be consideration given to providing some kind of accountability, or provision made available to those that might find themselves adversely effected by this

plan

Change, specifically any change to the behaviour of flood waters by this proposed land use plan.

Thank you.

Rose and Barney Hyde

\* 2004 event was considerably worse than 2016.

\* Re: Phillips hydrolic modeling: Widening of excavation of Whiskey creek = higher velocity to our back corner → culvert. keep in mind 2004 stock bank failed.

\* 2 More properties directly effected neighbours.

\* Stormwater Pond → culvert ("few 100 meters") runs through width of our property. We will experience much more flow through our property. Is this a 'Fundamental' issue?



Photo 1



Photo 2



Photo 3



Photo 4