

PREHEARING MEETING AGENDA AND MEETING NOTES – ROXBRUGH PLAN CHANGE

RESOPONSE TO SUBMISSION POINTS WITH HORIZONS REGIONAL COUNCIL

MEETING DATE:	12/02/2025
TIME:	10am-11am
LOCATION	COUNCIL CAB BUILDING
COUNCIL ATTENDEES:	PNCC – Senior Planner
Eamon Guthrie	WSP – Planning Consultant
Andrea Harris	PNCC – Parks & Reserves Manager
Aaron Phillips	McIndoe Urban – Urban Designer
Chris McDonald	WSP – Transport Planner
Chris Groom	PNCC – Consultant Transport Engineer
Mary Wood	GHD – Stormwater Consultant
Sean Syman	SLR – Acoustic Consultant
HORIZONS ATTENDEES	
Aruna Wickramasinghe	Horizons – Senior Policy Planner
Leana Shirley	Horizons – Senior Policy Planner

1. Welcome and Introductions

- Welcome by council representative.
- Round table Introductions of all attendees.
- Brief overview of meeting purpose and format. Meeting is to be recorded and Council will circulate draft minutes before approving.

2. Overview of Proposed Plan Change

- Council presentation on the proposed plan change and provide progress update.
- Key objectives of the prehearing and rationale.

3. Discussion of Key Issues

- Detailed discussion on specific aspects of the plan change. Including exploration of potential solutions or compromises.
- Clarification of any misunderstandings.



i. S22.014 Energy Efficiency Development/Directives.

Discussions

- Chris McDonald has done some work to determine that plan change would meet energy efficiency directives; small lot sizes to provide more compact housing largely orientated to the north, east, west with good connectivity to the city.
- Allows for multi-modal transport options which allows for less emissions.
- No specific changes are required to the notified provisions.

<u>Actions</u>

- None required.
- *ii.* S22.013 Land Disturbance.

Discussions

- No specific changes sought to the notified provisions.
- Council to provide advice amended advice note to outline earthworks required from Horizons.

<u>Actions</u>

- PNCC o send wording of new advice earthworks note to Horizons.
- iii. S22.008 S22.010 Onsite Stormwater Strategies.

Discussions

- Horizons concern from Higgins submission, as Horizons want to keep it to give effect to specified Horizons Policies.
- Horizons support and want to retain the policies to give effect to Horizons policies and manage storm water effects. Additional discharge requires resource consent. UVP-18?
- View the storm water provisions in a holistic approach.
- Mary Wood Proposed RRA SW approach is the most appropriate way to manage storm water.
- If there were a stop bank breach, it would be a wider City issue. Floor levels proposed to mitigate proposed flooding effects.

<u>Actions</u>

- None required
- iv. S22.011 Contaminated Soil.

Discussions

- No additional outcome sought as there is a guidance note existing in the provisions?
- Council has identified contaminated sites through the DSI.
- Noted, permitted activity rule for works on contaminated land, ask HRC Consents team for guidance.
- NES-CS would override the Regional Plan rules.
- Retain the note to plan users for NES-CS as notified.

<u>Actions</u>

• PNCC to check that correct sites on DSI report are now captured on future LIMs.

v. S22.002 & 003 Stop bank.

Discussions

- Agree to amend structure plan to include wording about works within the structure plan. Council and HRC are unable to determine exact location of stop bank.
- Horizons to provide PNCC info about stop bank survey in relation to new purchase.
- No specific provision sought about whether Horizons may change the heights of the stop bank in the future, as the advice note about works near the stop bank is sufficient.
- Clarification needed regarding council process for checking if works near stop bank require resource consent.

<u>Actions</u>

- PNCC (Eamon Guthrie) to provide wording of changes to advice note.
- *PNCC* (Aaron Phillips) to provide council process for checking if works near stop bank require resource consent.
- Horizons to clarify the future plans (e.g. maintenance) of the stop bank.
- vi. S22.012 Regional Public Transport Plan Transport.

Discussions

• Supportive of transport provisions. No changes required/requested.

<u>Actions</u>

• None required.

4. Next Steps and Process

- Outline of the upcoming formal hearing process (if known).
- Discussion of potential further engagement or information sharing.
- Confirmation of any agreed actions.
- Close of meeting.