

**Further Submission on a Plan Change to the Palmerston North City District Plan  
 Clause 8 of First Schedule – Resource Management Act 1991**

**IMPORTANT**

**PLEASE:**

- Read **ALL** instructions carefully
- Print clearly and complete **ALL** sections in this form (A number of people will read your submission, so please make sure it can be easily photocopied, read and understood).
- Use separate submission forms for different Plan Changes.
- Don't forget to provide a **PHYSICAL ADDRESS, POSTAL ADDRESS** and **CONTACT PHONE NUMBER**.
- Use additional sheets of paper if necessary, indicate above if you are doing so and attach them securely to this form.

Preferred title (please delete inapplicable titles):

Full Name of Further Submitter: Massey University. Attention: Dave Povey, National Facilities Director

Plan Change Name: Proposed Plan Change J: Massey University Turitea Historic Area

Your Physical Address (for courier purposes):

Postal Address: Private Bag 11222, Palmerston North, 4442

Phone (home): 021 361 756

Email: [D.Povey@massey.ac.nz](mailto:D.Povey@massey.ac.nz)

**Signature:**

Signature of the person making submission or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the person making submission.  
 (NOTE: A signature is not required if you are making a submission by electronic means.)

|  |                              |
|--|------------------------------|
| <b>1. I AM (Please indicate A, B, or C.)</b>   |                              |
| <p><del>A.</del> A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest. In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or</p> <p><b>B.</b> A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has. In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or</p> <p><del>C.</del> The local authority for the relevant area.</p> | Please indicate<br>A, B or C |
| <p>Please state grounds for why you come within category A. or B. above:</p> <p>The proposed plan change provisions were largely drafted by Massey University. The provisions directly affect Massey University owned land and buildings and their day to day use, maintenance and operations.</p>   |                              |
| <b>2. My further submission is on Submission [State the Submission Number]:</b>  | <b>Subm No: 1</b>            |
|  | <b>Subm No: 3</b>            |
| <p>State the name and address of the person or organization making the original submission:</p> <p>Submission No 1: Palmerston North Heritage Advisory Group</p> <p>Submission No 3: Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga, Central Regional Office, Level 1, 71 Boulcott Street, Wellington</p>   |                              |
| <b>3. The particular parts of the submission that I support / oppose are:</b>  |                              |
| <p>Massey University supports the Palmerston North Heritage Advisory Group's submission (submission no. 1). Massey University appreciated their feedback when drafting the provisions. Regarding the inclusion of military heritage connections to the Oval area, the plan change as proposed provides for Signage and Information Boards as a permitted activity. This enables Massey to explain the heritage of the Massey Oval as discussed</p>             |                              |

by the submitter. Massey University, therefore, does not consider it necessary to introduce any further provisions to the plan change provisions proposed as a result of this submission.

Massey University supports in part the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga submission and proposed changes. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga provided in depth feedback throughout the plan change drafting process and Massey University thanks them for their feedback. Massey University agrees that 'in a university context' should be added to Policy 4.1 as outlined in the submission (submission point S3/2). This adds clarity that the Oval is unique within a university context and is not often found in the same way at other campuses.

The University does not support the other changes in submission S3/2. Seismic strengthening is considered to be part of maintaining and repairing buildings. For this Plan Change the important aspect to manage when buildings are seismically strengthened in the Turitea Historic Area, is to not change the buildings' frontages to the Oval or obstruct the doors and windows. The requested changes to permitted activity 4 alter this intent which seeks to allow seismic strengthening as part of maintenance and repair of a building on the walls which do not front the Oval. This is an important distinction and should not be changed.

In relation to the performance standards for Rule 19.4.4, the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga submission is that the entirety of performance standard (d)iii. be deleted. Deleting the performance standard in its entirety would remove the need for external maintenance and repair to not obstruct existing openings (doors and windows). Massey University consider that it is important this performance standard is kept as notified. Doing so will ensure external maintenance and repair does not result in the obstruction of openings which contribute to the Turitea Historic Area's historic values.

Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga also seek a new performance standard that introduces internal alterations to buildings to be included. Massey University does not support this change. Managing all internal alterations is not the intent of the plan change. As set out in the Heritage Assessment, commissioned as part of the plan change, it is the external appearance of the buildings and how they front the Massey Oval, which contribute to the Turitea Historic Area's historic value. Not the internal layout of buildings. On that basis Massey requests that no changes are made to the performance standards as requested.

**4. The reasons for my support or opposition are:**

Reasons are discussed above.

**5. I seek the following decision from the Palmerston North City Council:**

To make the change to Policy 4.1 as outlined above and reject the remaining points under submission S3/2. That Proposed Plan Change J is adopted following completion of the change.

**6. Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission?**

Yes

**7. If others make a similar submission would you be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing?**

No

**NOTES TO PERSON MAKING SUBMISSION**

- A copy of this further submission form MUST be served on the original submitter not later than five working days after the day on which the further submission is provided to the Council.**
- If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use form 16C.

**This submission should be received by the Palmerston North City Council BY THE CLOSING DATE for further submissions to the Plan Change.**

**PLEASE SEND YOUR SUBMISSION BY:**

- Mailing to: Palmerston North City Council  
 Private Bag 11-034, Palmerston North  
 ATTENTION: Democracy and Governance Manager
- Delivering to: Council's Contact Services Centre, Te Manaakitanga o Hineaute, Civic Administration Building, The Square, Palmerston North
- Visiting our website: [www.pncc.govt.nz](http://www.pncc.govt.nz) to make a submission
- Emailing to: [submission@pncc.govt.nz](mailto:submission@pncc.govt.nz)



**PRIVACY ACT NOTE: PLEASE NOTE** ALL INFORMATION IN YOUR SUBMISSION, INCLUDING YOUR PERSONAL INFORMATION, WILL BE USED TO PROGRESS THE PROCESS OF THIS PLAN CHANGE AND WILL BE MADE PUBLICLY AVAILABLE.