Appendix H Grade separation of Railway Road/Roberts Line – transport assessment



Roberts Line Transport Solutions for the Te Utanganui Masterplan

Palmerston North City Council

19 April 2023

➔ The Power of Commitment



Project na	ame	Central New Zealand Distribution Hub Masterplan								
Documen	t title	Roberts Line Transport Solutions for the Te Utanganui Masterplan								
Project nu	umber	12566838								
File name		12566838 Road a	nd Rail Overbridge	e Report						
Status	Revision	Author	Reviewer		Approved for issue					
Code			Name	Signature	Name	Signature	Date			
0	Draft	Stuart Doidge Clay O'Donnell	David Norman David Walker	×.5	David Walker	***	01/03/23			
1	Final	Stuart Doidge Clay O'Donnell	Sarah Jenkin	Junip	David Walker	オンシー	19/04/23			
2										

GHD Limited [626860]

52 The Square, Level 2

Palmerston North, Manawatu 4410, New Zealand

T +64 6 353 1800 | F +64 6 353 1801 | E palmmail@ghd.com | ghd.com

© GHD 2023

This document is and shall remain the property of GHD. The document may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Unauthorised use of this document in any form whatsoever is prohibited.



Contents

1.	Introd	luction	1
	1.1	Purpose	1
	1.2	Scope and limitations	1
2.	Desig	jn	2
	2.1	Design Parameters	2
	2.2	Roberts Line/Richardsons Line	2
	2.3	Roberts Line/NIMT/Railway Road	2
	2.4	Foodstuffs and PNCC Water Bore	3
	2.5	Unexplored Options	3
3.	Goals	Achieved?	4

GHD | Palmerston North City Council | 12566838 | Roberts Line Transport Solutions i

1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

Palmerston North City Council (PNCC) requested more detail on how transport solutions may work along Roberts Line to preserve as much access flexibility as possible, while considering grade separation at both the Roberts Line / Richardsons Line and the Roberts Line / Railway Road intersections. This flexibility would include (but is not limited to):

- east-west access from the existing North East Industrial Zone (NEIZ) into the KiwRail hub
- northerly access from the existing NEIZ into the eventual freight ring road
- access for traffic from the north and south (including from the NEIZ extension areas C, D and E) into the KiwiRail hub.

The starting assumptions are that grade separation will be needed at the Railway Road / Roberts Line intersection by 2032, and that a round-about will be an appropriate solution for the Roberts Line / Richardsons Line intersection by 2032. However, over the longer term (2052), it is expected that grade separation may be required at the Roberts Line / Richardsons Line intersection.

The goals of the proposed transport analysis are therefore to:

- · accommodate grade separation at the two intersections
- ensure that the shorter-term solution (a round-about) at the Roberts Line / Richardsons Line intersection is cognisant of future upgrades to reduce overall cost and re-work
- preserve as much as possible north-south and east-west connectivity on Roberts Line through the grade separation process, and if possible, to avoid disruption to direct access onto Roberts Line from existing occupants of the NEIZ.

1.2 Scope and limitations

This report has been prepared by GHD for Palmerston North City Council and may only be used and relied on by Palmerston North City Council for the purpose agreed between GHD and Palmerston North City Council as set out in section 1.1 of this report.

GHD otherwise disclaims responsibility to any person other than Palmerston North City Council arising in connection with this report. GHD also excludes implied warranties and conditions, to the extent legally permissible.

The services undertaken by GHD in connection with preparing this report were limited to those specifically detailed in the report and are subject to the scope limitations set out in the report.

The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on conditions encountered and information reviewed at the date of preparation of the report. GHD has no responsibility or obligation to update this report to account for events or changes occurring subsequent to the date that the report was prepared.

The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on assumptions made by GHD described in this report (refer section(s) 1.1 of this report). GHD disclaims liability arising from any of the assumptions being incorrect.

Accessibility of documents

If this report is required to be accessible in any other format, this can be provided by GHD upon request and at an additional cost if necessary.

2. Design

2.1 Design Parameters

Design parameters considered during this preliminary concept design for Roberts Line are:

- From the Waka Kotahi for Bridge design manual, GHD has adopted the minimum clearance of 6.1 metres from the existing road level to the soffit of the proposed bridges. Allowing for concrete Super T bridge construction, GHD has used a bridge surface level of 8.0 metres above road level.
- Michael Than from Railize has advised that there is limited scope for lowering of the NIMT through the Roberts Line intersection, and insufficient to be considered further in this preliminary concept design.
- The existing ground surface used is LIDAR sourced from LINZ.
- From the Waka Kotahi State Highway Geometric Design Manual, the vertical alignment meets minimum standards for 60km/h design speed and 0.2m object height for safe stopping distances.
- Bridge widths provide for two 3.5 metre lanes, two 1.5 metre shoulders and two 0.5 metre wide barriers. The rail overbridge also includes a 3.5 metre shared pathway. The road overbridge does not include a shared pathway.
- Shared path on the western side of Roberts Line at ground level at the road overbridge and round-about.
- Vehicle tracking for heavy commercial vehicles (B-Train).

Drawing SK001 provides an overall view of Roberts Line for a complete project that includes both bridges and the round-about.

2.2 Roberts Line / Richardsons Line

SK003 shows the round-about with the road overbridge at Roberts Line and Richardson Line. A 70 metre long three span bridge will be required for Roberts Line to pass over the round-about. The road overbridge allows vehicles travelling along Roberts Line to pass uninterrupted over the round-about.

Each side of the road overbridge, traffic lanes are provided for vehicles travelling north and south to leave Roberts Line and approach the round-about, and to leave the round-about and enter onto Roberts Line. Each approach to the round-about diverges into 2 lanes allowing for vehicles to continue turning to the left or to the enter the round-about.

Travelling north, from above the centre of the round-about, the level on the road overbridge at 8 metres requires 370 metres for the vertical alignment to return to ground level. A further 180 metres is required to the start of and end of diverge and converge lanes as shown on SK002.

A shared path is provided on the western side of Roberts Line with specific crossing points over roads and under the road overbridge.

Both edges of the approaches to the road overbridge are proposed for construction using near vertical mechanically stabilised earth (MSE) with concrete panel facing to minimise the construction footprint required compared with earth embankments.

2.3 Roberts Line / NIMT / Railway Road

SK005 shows the rail overbridge at Roberts Line and Railway Road/North Island Main Trunk line (NIMT). A 25 metre long single span bridge will be required for Roberts Line to pass over Railway Road and the NIMT.

This document is in draft form. The contents, including any opinions, conclusions or recommendations contained in, or which may be implied from, this draft document must not be relied upon. GHD reserves the right, at any time, without notice, to modify or retract any part or all of the draft document. To the maximum extent permitted by law, GHD disclaims any responsibility or liability arising from or in connection with this draft document.

North of the rail overbridge on each side of the Roberts Line, traffic lanes are provided for vehicles travelling north to leave Roberts Line and approach the round-about, and travel south along Roberts Line to leave the round-about and enter onto Roberts Line.

Travelling south, from the road level at 8 metres on the centre of the rail overbridge requires 425 metres for the vertical alignment to return to ground level, due in part to the lower ground level compared to the level of the NIMT.

A shared path on the western side will be included in the rail overbridge and continue through to the Richardsons Line round-about.

As for the Richardsons Line road overbridge, both edges of the approaches to the rail overbridge are proposed for construction using near vertical mechanically stabilised earth (MSE) with concrete panel facing to minimise the construction footprint required compared with earth embankments.

To meet design parameters for vertical alignment between the road and rail overbridges results in the level of Roberts Line between the two bridges being 4 metres above the ground level as shown on SK020.

A rail underpass has not been considered at this point but the description of proposed work above would apply equally to a rail underpass below ground rather than above ground.

2.4 Foodstuffs and Water Bore Access

SK004 and SK005 show that in providing the rail overbridge and exit and entry lanes onto Roberts Line, the proposed work will encroach into Foodstuffs property to the west and border the water bore property to the east.

With near vertical MSE walls and the complete project being 4 metres above the ground level between the overbridges, access to the Foodstuffs site from Roberts Line would no longer be possible. This may require Foodstuffs to be reconfigured so that access was through the NEIZ or from Richardsons Line. Access to the water bore would require on the east side of Roberts Line an additional road or service lane from the Richardsons Line round-about, or access via the KiwiRail hub.

To provide a connection for Railway Road south of Roberts Line in both directions to Roberts Line north of the NIMT would require an additional lane to the west and east. The west lane would further encroach into Foodstuffs property. The east lane would have to travel around the east side of the PNCC water bore. The Rail overbridge would also increase in length to maintain a south bound lane for Railway Road. This does not provide access for Railway Road south of Roberts Line to Roberts Line south of the NIMT.

SK001 shows Railway Road ending south of Roberts Line with a cul-de-sac and access to the Foodstuffs staff carpark.

2.5 Unexplored Options

A rail underpass at Roberts Line / NIMT / Railway Road nor a road underpass at Roberts Line / Richardsons Line have been considered at this point beyond the following comment:

- Costs could be expected to be similar to overbridges.
- MSE walls would be replaced with retaining walls. Fill embankments would be replaced with excavation.
- Bridges lengths for underpasses could possibly be shorter than bridges for over bridges.
- Likely groundwater levels would require permanent pumping infrastructure to remove stormwater runoff from any rainfall event.
- Roberts Line, Richardsons Line and Railway Road would remain at the existing ground level so potential to maintain Roberts Line / Railway Road connection in both directions. There would still be encroachment into Foodstuffs requiring reconfiguration of their building and operations.

A multi-plate tunnel for the NIMT from south of and past Roberts Line has not been considered at this point beyond the following comment:

This document is in draft form. The contents, including any opinions, conclusions or recommendations contained in, or which may be implied from, this draft document must not be relied upon. GHD reserves the right, at any time, without notice, to modify or retract any part or all of the draft document. To the maximum extent permitted by law, GHD disclaims any responsibility or liability arising from or in connection with this draft document.

- Costs for a longer multi plate arch could be similar to the shorter bridge for the rail overbridge.
- Potential to maintain Roberts Line / Railway Road connection in both directions with a signalised intersection above the multi-plate arch.
- There would still be encroachment into Foodstuffs requiring reconfiguration of their building and operations. There would likely be encroachment into properties at 279 and 283 Railway land, DKSH and bare land respectively.

3. Goals Achieved?

Have the goals of this proposed transport analysis been achieved?

• To accommodate grade separation at the two intersections.

The preliminary concept designs show that overbridges at the NIMT / Railway Road and Richardsons Line can be accommodated but will result in Foodstuffs losing their access on to Roberts Line and encroachment onto their property. Significant reconfiguring of the Foodstuffs site and building and operations would be required.

• To ensure that the shorter-term solution (a round-about) at the Roberts Line / Richardsons Line intersection is cognisant of future upgrades to reduce overall cost and re-work.

The short-term solution would be to construct the NIMT rail over bridge and the Richardsons Line round-about with side lanes as shown, leaving a planted strip between the side lanes north and south of the round-about to accommodate the long-term solution of the Richardsons Line overbridge.

 Preserve as much as possible north-south and east-west connectivity on Roberts Line through the grade separation process, and if possible, to avoid disruption to direct access onto Roberts Line from existing occupants of the NEIZ.

The NIMT overbridge will remove Foodstuffs access to Roberts Line and encroachment into their property will require significant reconfiguration of their property. The NIMT rail overbridge will also remove the connection between Railway Road south of Roberts Line. This will require an alternative route for Railway Road traffic through the NEIZ, possibly through the undeveloped land between the Foodstuffs and Progressive sites, onto Richardsons Line and further onto Roberts Line and the rail hub.

4. Attachments

Drawings (7) SK001 - SK005, SK020 - SK021

This document is in draft form. The contents, including any opinions, conclusions or recommendations contained in, or which may be implied from, this draft document must not be relied upon. GHD reserves the right, at any time, without notice, to modify or retract any part or all of the draft document. To the maximum extent permitted by law, GHD disclaims any responsibility or liability arising from or in connection with this draft document.



ghd.com





A CC	NCEPT				20/02/23
Rev De	scription		Checked	Approved	Date
Author	C. O'DONNELL	Drafting Check			
Designer	S DOIDGE	Design Check			

Plot Date: 21 February 2023 - 11:20 am Plotted by: Clay O'Donnell



File Name: N:\NZ\Auckland\Projects\2091\12566838\CADD\Drawings\12566838-SK001_SK002_SK003.dwg

Plot Date: 21 February 2023 - 11:20 am Plotted by: Clay O'Donnell

	START OF GRADE SEPARATION RAMP.
	START OF GRADE SEPARATION RAMP.
RTH CITY COUNCIL ICHARDSONS LINE E SEPARATION	Drawing Title ROBERTS LINE PROPOSED ROADING PLAN
Status Code S2	12566838-SK002 A





Plot Date: 21 February 2023 - 11:18 am Plotted by: Clay O'Donnell

Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.

	_
Status	S

12566838-S

RICHARDSONS LINE BRIDGE						
					- ROBERTS LINE DESIGN	ICENTRELINE
DATUM 40.000						
VERTICAL ALIGNMENT	L=11,26m G=5.00%	R=2700.00m K=27.0 L=135.00m	L=9.94m L=70.00m G=0.00% G=0.00%	L=18.78m R=2700.00m G=0.00% K=27.0 L=85.18m	L=9.62m G=-3.15%	R=3800.00m K=38.0 L=279.41m
DESIGN SIREACE FEAT 47.891 47.891 48.465 48.465 48.465	50.114 50.875 51.740 51.740 52.485 52.708 53.048 53.048 53.676	55.184 55.716 56.100	56.335 56.423 56.423 56.423 56.423 56.423 56.423 56.423 56.423	56.423 56.423 56.423 56.135 56.135 55.768	55.253 55.080 54.776 54.631 54.631 54.078 53.629 53.629 53.286	53.048 52.916 52.888 52.966 53.149 53.149 53.149 53.438
EXISTING SURFACE LEVEL 47.300 47.997 48.046 48.046	48.116 48.114 48.144 48.128 48.093 48.093 48.093 48.058 48.058	48.075 48.075 48.161 48.253	48.287 48.346 48.354 48.437 48.408 48.408 48.40 48.387	48.374 48.395 48.396 48.471 48.471 48.510	48.550 48.562 48.562 48.562 48.590 48.670 48.670 48.733	48.765 48.834 48.834 48.946 49.094 49.281 49.281 49.475
CHAINAGE 390.000 340.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 370.000 <	400.000 420.000 440.000 460.000 466.796 480.000	520.000 540.000 560.000	580.000 600.000 601.796 620.000 640.000 646.738 646.738	680.000 700.000 720.000 740.000 760.000	780.000 785.700 800.000 820.000 840.000 860.000	880.000 920.000 920.000 960.000 980.000

LONGITUDINAL SECTION - ROBERTS LINE

LONGITUDINAL SECTION - ROBERTS LINE

A CON	NCEPT					20/02/23
Rev Des	cription			Checked	Approved	Date
Author	C. O'DONNELL	Drafting C	heck			
Designer	S. DOIDGE	Design Cł	neck			
Plot Date:	21 February 2023 - 1	1:26 am	Plotted by:	Clay O'Donnell		

GHD Limited

Level 2, 57-64 The Square, Palmerston North New Zealand PO Box 447 Palmerston North T 64 6 353 1800 F 64 6 353 1801 E palmmail@ghd.com W www.ghd.com

Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.

Client PALMERSTON NOF

Project ROBERTS LINE - RI PROPOSED GRAD

Project No. 12566838

Status CONCEPT

	R	=3800.00m K=38.0 _=308.22m						
48.808	48.342	47.982	47.727	47.577	47.532	47.592	47.758	48.029
46.626	46.814	46.987	47.138	47.171	47.177	47.178	47.387	47.748
1560.000	1580.000	1600.000	1620.000	1640.000	1660.000	1680.000	000.000	1720.000

RTH CITY COUNCIL		Drawing PROPOSED LAYOUT PLAN	Size A1
ICHARDSONS LINE E SEPARATION			
Status Code	S2	Drawing No. 12566838-SK020	Rev A

DATUM 40.000					
VERTICAL ALIGNMENT					
VERTICAL ALIGNMENT	L=18.78m G ≃ 0.00%		R=2 K L=	700.00m (=27.0 85.18m	
DESIGN SURFACE LEVEL				48.501	
EXISTING SURFACE LEVEL	48.395	48.433	48.471	48.510	
CHAINAGE	700.000	720.000	740.000	760.000	
	DATUM 40.000 VERTICAL ALIGNMENT VERTICAL ALIGNMENT DESIGN SURFACE LEVEL EXISTING SURFACE LEVEL	DATUM 40.000 VERTICAL ALIGNMENT VERTICAL ALIGNMENT VERTICAL ALIGNMENT L=18.78m G=0.00% DESIGN SURFACE LEVEL EXISTING SURFACE LEVEL CHAINAGE 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	DATUM 40.000 VERTICAL ALIGNMENT VERTICAL ALIGNMENT VERTICAL ALIGNMENT L=18.78m G=0.00% DESIGN SURFACE LEVEL EXISTING SURFACE LEVEL CHAINAGE 000000000000000000000000000000000000	DATUM 40.000 VERTICAL ALIGNMENT VERTICAL ALIGNMENT VERTICAL ALIGNMENT L=18.78m G=0.00% L=18.78m L=18.78m L=18.78m G=0.00% SE (S)	DATUM 40.000 VERTICAL ALIGNMENT VERTICAL ALIGNMENT VERTICAL ALIGNMENT L=18.78m G=0.00% EXISTING SURFACE LEVEL FXISTING SURFACE LEVEL VERTICAL ALIGNMENT VERTICAL ALIGNMENT L=18.78m G=0.00% EXISTING SURFACE LEVEL FXISTING SURFACE LEVEL VERTICAL ALIGNMENT FXISTING SURFACE LEVEL GEO.00% CHAINAGE OO OO OO OO OO OO OO VERTICAL ALIGNMENT L=18.78m K=27.0 L=85.18m VERTICAL ALIGNMERT SEE VERTICAL ALIGNMENT L=18.78m GEO.00% SEE VERTICAL ALIGNMENT VERTICAL ALIGNMENT L=18.78m VERTICAL ALIGNMENT L=18.78m GEO.00% SEE SEE VERTICAL ALIGNMENT <tr< td=""></tr<>

LONGITUDINAL SECTION - ROBERTS LINE

DATUM 40.000								
VERTICAL ALIGNMENT			L=2 G=0	1.70m 0.20%				R=38 K: L=9
VERTICAL ALIGNMENT	L=18.78m G ≈ 0.00%				R=2 k L=	700.00m (=27.0 85.18m		
DESIGN SURFACE LEVEL		48.416	48.436	48.460	48.486		48.622	
EXISTING SURFACE LEVEL	48.395	48.414	48.433	48.455	48.471		48.510	
CHAINAGE	700.000	710.071	720.000	731.771	740.000		760.000	

LONGITUDINAL SECTION - ROBERTS LINE

A CON	NCEPT				20/02/23		
Rev Des	cription		Checked	Approved	Date		
Author	C. O'DONNELL	Drafting Check					
Designer	S. DOIDGE	Design Check					
Plot Date:	21 February 2023 - 2	11:26 am Plotted by: C	Clay O'Donnell			File Name:	N:\NZ\Auckland\Projects\2091\12566838\CADD\Civil_3D\12566838-C3D_ROAD_CORRIDOR.dwg

ON - RAMP ------

MAIN CENTRELINE -------

		RTS LINE	EDES	IGN CENT	RELINE												
										EXIT RA	MP DESIG	CENTRELINE -	7				
ON F	ON RAMP DESIGN CENTRELINE																
									·				+				
							R=380	0.00m									
L=67.29m G=0.31%						K=38.0 L=105.11m G=4.20%											
							L=147		R=3800.00	m							
	L=9.62m G=-3.15%					K=38.0										L=1 G=4	.87m .20%
4		0	e	4	ى	4	ى	4	<u> </u>	9	2	2 2	ي ا	9	ى ك	2	
48.56	48.62	48.69	48.71	48.77	48.95	49.24	49.63	50.13	50.73	51.44	52.04	52.25	53.93	54.77	55.61	56.45	
0		2	n	0	rg rg	Q	4	ې	4	12	4	ស ជ	2	15	ი	5	œ
48.55	48.59	48.61	48.63	48.67	48.73	48.76	48.83	48.94	49.09	49.28	49.42	49.47	49.97	50.19	50.41	50.58	50.88
00	000	00	93	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	192	000		000	000	000	000
780.0	800.0	820.0	827.2	840.0	860.0	880.0	0.006	920.0	940.0	960.0	974.8	980.0	1020.0	1040.(1060.(1080.(1100.(

GHD Limited

Level 2, 57-64 The Square, Palmerston North New Zealand PO Box 447 Palmerston North T 64 6 353 1800 F 64 6 353 1801 E palmmail@ghd.com W www.ghd.com

Conditions of Use. This document may only be used by GHD's client (and any other person who GHD has agreed can use this document) for the purpose for which it was prepared and must not be used by any other person or for any other purpose.

Client PALMERSTON NOF

Project ROBERTS LINE - RIC PROPOSED GRAD

Project No. 12566838 Status CONCEPT

RTH CITY COUNCIL		Drawing Title PROPOSED LAYOUT PLAN	Size A1
ICHARDSONS LINE E SEPARATION			
Status Code	S2	Drawing No. 12566838-SK021	Rev A

R=2700.00m — K=27.0 L=113.35m

R=2700.00m — K=27.0 L=113.35m